

MENTOR'S REPORT

NAME OF THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

1. MENTOR

1.1. Mentor

Title, name and surname

Institution, country

1.2. Co-mentor:

Title, name and surname

Institution, country

1.3. Title, name and surname of the doctoral student

1.4. Registration number of the doctoral student

1.6. The reported period

2. ADVANCEMENT WITHIN THE PROGRAMME

2.1. Did the doctoral student prepare a work plan and followed it? (Please, mark below)

Prepared a work plan

yes

no

Followed the work plan

yes

no

2.2. In you answered "no" to the previous question, explain why and suggest a suitable solution.

2.3. On the scale from 1 to 5, assess the quality of the doctoral student's research work progress (since the last report)

1 - insufficient

2 - sufficient

3 - good

4 – very good

5 - excellent

2.4. If you chose answer 1 or 2 to the previous question, explain why and provide suggestions for improvement.

2.5. Comment on the doctoral student's progress since the last report.

3. ASSESSMENT OF THE DOCTORAL STUDENT

3.1. Please, grade on a scale from 1 to 5:
(1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent)

Preparedness of the doctoral student at the consultations	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Planning and implementation of annual research activities and vocational training	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Progress in knowledge of scientific research methodologies	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Writing and publishing scientific papers	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Doctoral student's attitude to the study programme in general	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

3.2. Please, assess on a scale from 1 to 5 the general quality of the doctoral student's work:

☐ 1 - insufficient ☐ 2 - sufficient ☐ 3 - good ☐ 4 - very good ☐ 5 - excellent

3.3. If you chose 2 in the previous question, please, explain why and suggest improvements (if the general quality of the doctoral student's work is graded as insufficient (1), the Commission for monitoring of the doctoral student must start the procedure for additional monitoring of the student or adopt the decision on unsuccessful completion of the study programme).

3.4. Comment on the general quality of the doctoral student's work

4. OPINION ON DOCTORAL STUDENT'S ABILITY TO CONTINUE THE STUDY PROGRAMME

4.1. Is the doctoral student able to continue the study programme?

- a) ☐ Yes.
b) ☐ Yes, under certain conditions.
c) ☐ No.

4.2. If you answered b) or c) to the previous question, please, explain why.

4.3. Other comments and opinions of the mentor
(as needed)

Place, date and signature

In Ljubljana, _____

Signature
(Name and surname of the mentor)

Signature
(Name and surname of the co-mentor)

