

## CANDIDATE'S REPORT ON DOCTORAL DISSERTATION WORK

GENERAL INFORMATION	
Name of the doctoral programme:	Joint doctoral study programme Governance and Economics in the Public Sector
Name and surname of the doctoral student	
Registration number of the doctoral student	
Reported academic year	
E-mail	

1. MENTOR/CO-MENTOR:	
1.1. Mentor	
Name and surname, title	Institution, country
1.2. Co-mentor:	
Name and surname, title	Institution, country

2. ANNUAL REPORT			
2.1. Did you complete the planned study requirements?	Yes	no	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2.2. If you answered “no” to the previous question, explain why not and suggest improvements.			
2.3. Describe your progress since the last report (max. 500 words, unless this is your first report)			
2.4. Describe the work plan for the next study period (max. 500 words)			
2.5. State any problems that affect or might affect the course of your studies.			
2.6. Participation in study activities (study activities in which you participate – write down the type and duration of study activities)			
Study activity	Type of study activity	Duration (hours per week)	Total (hours per semester)


#### 2.7. Participation in project (in the previous academic year)

Institution	From	Until	Name and type of the project

#### 2.8. Training abroad (in the previous academic year)

Country	Institution	From	Until	Name

#### 2.9. Participation at scientific and professional conferences (in the previous academic year)

Institution	From	Until	Name of the conference and method of participation

#### 2.10. Subjects according to the curriculum

Subject	ECTS points	Grade

#### 2.11. Reference (attach the list of published scientific publications from the Cobiss database – for the previous academic year)

### 3. ASSESSMENT OF THE MENTOR

3.1. Please, assess on a scale from 1 to 5 (1 – insufficient, 2 – sufficient, 3 – good, 4 – very good, 5 – excellent)

Clear definition of research goals and expectations for the doctoral student	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

Help with planning of research activities for the year	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Active collaboration of mentor with the doctoral student	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Encouragement of publishing and help with publishing scientific papers	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Mentor's attitude towards the doctoral student	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Cooperation with mentor	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 5

#### 4. ASSESSMENT OF THE DOCTORAL PROGRAMME

4.1. Please, grade on a scale from 1 to 5, the quality of the doctoral programme you are enrolled in (for the current academic year):

☐ 1 - insufficient   ☐ 2 - sufficient   ☐ 3 - good   ☐ 4 – very good   ☐ 5 - excellent

4.2. If you answered 1 or 2 to the previous question, please, explain why and suggest improvements.

Place, date and signature

In Ljubljana, \_\_\_\_\_

Signature  
(Name and surname of the doctoral student)

